

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459 Absandth, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,269	02/05/2001	Serge Jacques Fayeulle	SEA9493/40046.0100USU1	3395
23552	7590 01/02/2004		EXAMI	NER
MERCHANT & GOULD PC P.O. BOX 2903			LEE, EDMUND H	
	LIS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1732	

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/777,269	FAYEULLE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) d - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	NTION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, no action. aution. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum rry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) by statute, cause the application to be constituted.	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>06 November 2003</u> .	
	☑ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	allowance except for formal under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	natters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	*	
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the app	ication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	kaminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attac	hed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for d since a specific reference was included in 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign languars.	uments have been received, uments have been received in the priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)), and ist of the certified copies of the priority under 35 U.S the first sentence of the specage provisional application ha	n Application No ten received in this National Stage not received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ification or in an Application Data Sheet.
reference was included in the first sentenc	e of the specification or in an	C. 33 120 and/or 121 since a specific Application Data Sheet, 37 CFR 1.78.
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-5) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	(48) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)	ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 12172003

Application/Control Number: 09/777,269

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Claims 1-6 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 11/6/03.
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 7-12 and 13 (generic) in Paper No. 11/6/03 is acknowledged.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 7, 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Koyanagi et al (USPN 6078474). Koyanagi et al teach the claimed process as evident at col 10, In 57-col 11, In 39; and fig. 12. The thin layer with nearly no fluorine constitutes the claimed layer of predetermined thickness on the fabricated load/unload ramp.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,269

Art Unit: 1732

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

6. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koyanagi et al (USPN 6078474). The above teachings of Koyanagi et al are incorporated hereinafter. Koyanagi et al does not teach providing a layer having the claimed thickness; and mixing a claimed percentage of lubricant in proportion to the polymer melt. In regard to providing a layer having the claimed thickness, such is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on the desired final product and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, the claimed thickness of a release layer is wellknown in the molding art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the layer of Koyanagi et al with a thickness within the claimed range in order to ensure proper release without compromising the quality of the ramp. In regard to mixing a claimed percentage of lubricant in proportion to the polymer melt, mixing percentages are well-known in the molding art as important molding parameters and the desired percentage would have been obviously and readily determined through routine experimentation by one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. Further, the claimed percentages are generally well-known in the molding art and it would have been

Application/Control Number: 09/777.269

Art Unit: 1732

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the claimed percentage of lubricant in the process of Koyanagi et al in order to ensure proper release of the ramp without compromising the quality of the ramp.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Koyanagi et al (USPN 6487051) teach injection molding a ramp from a mixture of polymer and lubricant.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 703.305.4019. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 703.305.5493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0661.

> EDMUND H. LEE. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1732

FHI

12/12/02

Page 4